UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,385	02/27/2002	Satoshi Hirahara	220049US0	4760
	7590 12/20/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			VO, HAI	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/083,385 HIRAHARA ET		
Examiner	Art Unit	

zororo uno i ming or uni appour ziror	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hai Vo	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 December 2007</u>. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	,		()			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 004)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		npliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	vided below or appended.	be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,15,30,32,34,37-40,42,43,46 and 4</u> ; Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>/</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by all of the art rejections have been maintained. Lisowsky material such as phenolic in a diluent (column 4, lines 4 powdered process (column 4, lines 15-20). Therefore,	y discloses the fabric being coated w 5-65). Fiala teaches that the prepre t is the examiner's position that the	vith a dispersion of the g rolls of fabric is forn	e polymeric ned by a dry			
discontinuously as particles on the surface of the fibers. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).						
13. Other:	, ,					
	/Hai Vo/					

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.Part of Paper No. 20071217